REGULAR PAPER

New Reduction Technique for Isobaric Interferences on Ba Using ICP-Mass Spectrometry

Takafumi HIRATA*

(Received December 15, 2003; Accepted May 19, 2004)

A new technique for the removal of isobaric interferences on Ba has been developed for ICP-mass spectrometer. Mass spectrometric interferences on Ba by ¹³⁸La⁺, ¹³⁶Ce⁺, or ¹³⁸Ce⁺ isobaric signals were effectively eliminated by a selective production of oxide ions. The La⁺ and Ce⁺ ions could be quantitatively converted to oxide ions (LaO⁺ and CeO⁺) by the ICP ion source under the low-power operation. Production efficiency of oxide signals for La and Ce was remarkably enhanced, *i.e.*, >2,000,000 times the level obtained by the conventional ICP power (1.35 kW). Residual signal intensity of La⁺ signal was almost the same level as the instrumental background. The overall contribution of isobaric ¹³⁸La and ¹³⁸Ce to ¹³⁸Ba signals were 0.02% and 0.1%, respectively, even with the presence of ×10,000 times higher concentration of La and Ce. The data obtained here demonstrate that the level of the isobaric interferences by ¹³⁸La, ¹³⁶Ce, and ¹³⁸Ce signals produced by the low-power ionisation was negligible within the analytical precision achieved in this study (~1%). It should be noted that the analytical sensitivity for Ba obtained by the low-power ICP remains almost 85% of the level achieved by the conventional ICP power. This is very promising because the present technique can be applied to the laser ablation sampling technique which requires high sensitivity without chemical separation or purification procedures.

1. Introduction

Age of rock sample is one of the key information for the understanding of geological sequence of the Earth and the solar system. Earth scientists have been trying to understand the underlying order and inherent linkage of the events in the world based on the chronological description of the sample. Ages of the samples have been determined by means of the rate of erosion or sedimentation, fossils contained therein or nuclear decay of radioactive isotope. It is well recognised that isotopic chronometer based on radioactive decay of unstable nuclides, such as ⁸⁷Rb, ¹⁴⁷Sm, ²³⁸U, or ²³⁵U, can provide most accurate age data of the samples.^{1), 2)} Recent progress in analytical technique to measure small variation in isotopic composition with high sensitivity can enables us to determine precise chronological information. Atmospheric pressure inductively coupled plasmas (ICPs) are flame like electrical discharge that have revolutionised the practice of elemental and isotopic ratio analysis. The high temperatures available at atmospheric pressure in the plasmas make them very efficient excitation and ionisation sources. The ionisation efficiency to the singly charged state for most elements is approaching >80%,^{3), 4)} indicative of very attractive characteristics as an ion sources.⁵⁾⁻⁷⁾ Many geologists are increasingly interested in processes in rocks that operate at the microscopic scale such as zoning or metamorphic recrystallisation. Because these processes have implications for the larger scale behavior of the Earth, new techniques for chemical and isotopic measurements at the μ m scale need to be developed. Combination of laser ablation (LA) technique and ICP-MS has now become a fast and accurate method of in situ trace element and isotopic analysis.^{8)–15)} In order to obtain accurate isotopic data, isobaric interferences onto the isotopes of interest must be removed. Chemical separation procedures such as chromatography or liquid-liquid distribution techniques have been widely used to separate the isobaric interferences from the analyte. However, in the case of laser ablation sample introduction technique, these conventional techniques can not be applied because sample aerosols produced by the laser ablation are directly introduced into the ICP. Recently, isobaric interference of 87Rb onto 87Sr was successfully minimised by means of collision or reaction cell technique.^{16)–19)} However, in most cases, elemental sensitivity of the instrument can be lowered through this reaction process. Moreover, modification of the instrument is needed with the standard ICP-MS instruments.

La-Ba isotopic chronometer is based on radioactive decay of 138 La to 138 Ba with half-life of 1.1×10^{11} yrs. The La-Ba chronometer can provide precise chronological data for REE-bearing minerals or ores.^{20), 21)} In order to obtain accurate La-Ba age, precise isotopic ratio data on Ba are highly desired. However, even a small contribution of mass spectrometric interferences on ¹³⁶Ba and ¹³⁸Ba by ¹³⁶Ce, ¹³⁸Ce, and ¹³⁸La ionic signals can be a large source of analytical error (Fig. 1). To minimise the effect of isobaric interferences, prior to the isotopic analysis, Ba was chemically separated from La and Ce. Despite the obvious success in effective separation and high recovery achieved by the chemical separation procedure, this conventional technique can not be applied to the LA technique. In order to obtain in-situ La-Ba isotopic data using the LA technique, the isobaric interferences on Ba isotopes must be separated or removed within the mass spectrometer. In this study, I would like to show a new

^{*} Laboratory for Planetary Sciences, Tokyo Institute of Technology (O-okayama 2–12–1, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152–8551, Japan)





Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of isobaric interferences on Ba by La and Ce. The ¹³⁶Ba and ¹³⁸Ba signals were interfered by ¹³⁸La, ¹³⁶Ce, and ¹³⁸Ce isobaric signals. La-Ba isotopic chronometer is based on the small isotopic growth of ¹³⁸Ba due to radio-active decay of ¹³⁸La.

technique for reduction of isobaric interferences on Ba using a preferential conversion of La^+ and Ce^+ ions to LaO^+ and CeO^+ signals achieved by the low-power ICP operational condition.

The strength of ICP-MS lies with the ion source which achieves high ionisation efficiency for nearly all elements.⁴⁾ In order to take full advantage of the high ionisatin capability of the ICP, RF incident power of >1.2 kW was generally accepted as an ICP operation setting. Moreover, higher ICP operation power is very important to minimise the oxide (MO⁺) production. The production of oxide has been evaluated by the MO^+/M^+ ratio. The MO^+/M^+ ratios of <0.05 can be achieved by the ICP ion source under the conventional power setting, whereas the ratio increased up to >0.1when the lower ICP power (1,100 W) was applied.²²⁾ Because of the lower elemental sensitivity of the instrument with higher oxide production (MO^+/M^+) ratio), the actual utility of the low-power ICP has been highly restricted. Despite these apparent drawbacks of the low ICP operation power, lower power (500 W) was applied to the ICP to enhance the oxide production aiming at removal of isobaric interferences on Ba.

2. Experimental

The ICP-mass spectrometer used in this study was a Thermo Electron (Winchester, UK) VG PlasmaQuad 2 Omega. This uses quadrupole mass spectrometer with $M/\Delta M=250~(10\%$ height) as a mass analyser. Conventional pneumatic nebuliser MicroMist (Glass Expansion, Melbourne, Australia) was used for solution introduction into the ICP. It is well recognised that secondary discharge between vacuum interface and the ICP becomes serious when low incident power was used.

The secondary discharge results in lowering the instrumental sensitivity. In this study, plasma shield technique was applied to minimise the formation of secondary discharge,²³⁾ and no obvious discharge could be found even with RF incident power of 400 W. The pressure of analyser chamber (quadrupole housing) becomes high ($>10^{-4}$ Pa) when the lower ICP incident power (<400 W) was applied. This is mainly due to lowering of the gas temperature at the tip of the sampling orifice,⁴⁾ and this results in higher gas flux entering the vacuum chamber through the orifice. In this study, 500 W incident power was applied to test the low-power ICP operation because there was no significant increase in pressure of analyser housing.

Operational conditions of the ICPMS such as torch position, Ar gas flow rates, ion transfer lens biases or spray chamber temperature were tuned to maximise the signal intensity of ¹³⁸Ba. In the case of low-power ICP, optimum nebuliser gas flow rate were 0.75-0.8 L/min which was significantly lower than the values (0.95 L/min) required for the conventional ICP power (1.35 kW). This can be attributed to the poorer ionisation efficiency of the low-power ICP, and therefore the lower nebuliser gas flow rate avoids the further cooling of the plasma by the water load. Details of the instrument and the operational parameters used in this study are listed in Table 1. For comparison, operational settings used for conventional ICP operation were also listed in this table.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 **Production of oxide ions**

It has been well recognised that oxide ions (MO⁺) was enhanced when lower ICP power was applied.

Instrument: Thermo Electron VG PlasmaQuad 2 OmegaICP incident power: 1350 W (standard), 500 W (low-power operation)Reflection: < 5 WVacuum interface: S-optionLens type: CHICANE lensPressure (Pa):Expansion chamber: $(10^{-2}$ Analyzer chamber: $(2 \times 10^{-4} (standard operation) - 6 \times 10^{-4} (low-power operation)2. Instrumental setting:Nebuliser: Glass Expansion MicroMistNebuliser gas flow rate: 1.02 L/min (standard operation) - 0.75 L/min (low-power operation)Solution uptake rate: 1 mL/min (standard operation) - 0.8 mL/min (low-power operation) - 0.75 L/min (low-power operation)Spray chamber: Scott-type double pathSpray chamber temp.: 4^{\circ}C (standard operation) - 0.8 mL/min (low-power operation) - 0.8 mL/min (l$	1. ICP-mass spectrometer	
Reflection:< < 5 WVacuum interface: S-optionLens type: CHICANE lensPressure (Pa):Expansion chamber: 120Intermediate chamber: $< 10^{-4}$ (standard operation) 6×10^{-4} (low-power operation)2. Instrumental settingNebuliser: Glass Expansion MicroMistNebuliser: Glass Expansion MicroMistNebuliser gas flow rate: $1.02 L/min$ (standard operation)0.75 L/min (low-power operation)Solution uptake rate: $1 mL/min$ (low-power operation)Spray chamber: Scott-type double pathSpray chamber: Scott-type double pathSpray chamber temp.: 4°C (standard operation)0.8 mL/min (low-power operation)Sensitivity for Ba: 400 Mcps/µg g^{-1} (standard operation) $\sim 360 Mcps/µg g^{-1} (low-power operation)$ Background: < 5 cps (at $m/z = 101.5)$ 3. Data acquisition: $13^{43}Ba, 1^{35}Ba, 1^{36}(Ba + La + Ce), 1^{39}La, 1^{40}Ce$ Data acquisition time: $15 s$ Scan mode: peak jump (3 points per isotopes; M and M±0.025 amu)Dwell time: 10 ms/jsotope	Instrument	: Thermo Electron VG PlasmaQuad 2 Omega
Vacuum interface : S-option Lens type : CHICANE lens Pressure (Pa) Expansion chamber : 120 Intermediate chamber : $<10^{-2}$ Analyzer chamber : 2×10^{-4} (standard operation) 6×10^{-4} (low-power operation) 2. Instrumental setting Nebuliser : Glass Expansion MicroMist Nebuliser : 1.02 L/min (standard operation) 0.75 L/min (low-power operation) Solution uptake rate : 1.02 L/min (standard operation) 0.75 L/min (low-power operation) Solution uptake rate : 1 mL/min (standard operation) 0.75 L/min (low-power operation) Solution uptake rate : 1 mL/min (standard operation) 0.75 L/min (low-power operation) Spray chamber : Scott-type double path Spray chamber temp. : $4^{\circ}C$ (standard operation) $12^{\circ}C$ (low-power operation) Peristaltic pump : not used Sampling depth : 20 mm above the load coil Sensitivity for Ba : $400 \text{ Mcps/}\mu g g^{-1}$ (standard operation) $\sim 360 \text{ Mcps/}\mu g g^{-1}$ (low-power operation) Background : $<5 \text{ cps}$ (at $m/z = 101.5$) 3. Data acquisition Monitoring signals : $^{134}\text{Ba}, ^{136}\text{Ba} + Ce, ^{137}\text{Ba}, ^{136}(\text{Ba} + La + Ce), ^{139}\text{La}, ^{140}\text{Ce}$ Data acquisition time : 15 s Scan mode : peak jump (3 points per isotopes; M and M±0.025 amu) Dwell time : 10 ms/point Settled time : 10 ms/siotope	ICP incident power	: 1350 W (standard), 500 W (low-power operation)
Lens type : CHCANE lens Pressure (Pa) Expansion chamber : 120 Intermediate chamber : $<10^{-2}$ Analyzer chamber : 2×10^{-4} (standard operation) 6×10^{-4} (low-power operation) 2. Instrumental setting Nebuliser : Glass Expansion MicroMist Nebuliser : Glass Expansion MicroMist Nebuliser : 1.02 L/min (standard operation) 0.75 L/min (low-power operation) Solution uptake rate : 1 mL/min (standard operation) 0.75 L/min (low-power operation) Solution uptake rate : 1 mL/min (standard operation) 0.8 mL/min (low-power operation) Spray chamber : Scott-type double path Spray chamber temp. : $4^{\circ}C$ (standard operation) Peristaltic pump : not used Sampling depth : 20 mm above the load coil Sensitivity for Ba : $400 Meps/\mu g g^{-1}$ (standard operation) $\sim 360 Meps/\mu g g^{-1}$ (standard operation) $\sim -360 Meps/\mu g g^{-1}$ (standard op	Reflection	: < 5 W
Pressure (Pa): 120Intermediate chamber: $< 10^{-2}$ Analyzer chamber: $< 10^{-2}$ Analyzer chamber: 2×10^{-4} (standard operation)6 × 10^{-4} (low-power operation)2. Instrumental settingNebuliser: Glass Expansion MicroMistNebuliser as flow rate: 1.02 L/min (standard operation)0.75 L/min (low-power operation)Solution uptake rate: 1 mL/min (standard operation)0.8 mL/min (low-power operation)Spray chamber: Scott-type double pathSpray chamber temp.: 4°C (standard operation)12°C (low-power operation)Peristaltic pump: not usedSampling depth: 20 mm above the load coilSensitivity for Ba: 400 Mcps/µg g^{-1} (low-power operation)~360 Mcps/µg g^{-1} (low-power operation)acquisitionMonitoring signals: 1^{34} Ba, 136 (Ba+Ce), 137 Ba, 138 (Ba+La+Ce), 139 La, 140 CeData acquisition time: 15 sScan mode: peak jump (3 points per isotopes; M and M±0.025 amu)Dwell time: 10 ms/pointSettled time: 10 ms/jostope	Vacuum interface	: S-option
Expansion chamber: 120Intermediate chamber: $< 10^{-2}$ Analyzer chamber: 2×10^{-4} (standard operation) 6×10^{-4} (low-power operation)2. Instrumental settingNebuliser: Glass Expansion MicroMistNebuliser gas flow rate: $1.02 L/min$ (standard operation) $0.75 L/min (low-power operation)Solution uptake rate: 1 mL/min (standard operation)0.75 L/min (low-power operation)Spray chamber: Scott-type double pathSpray chamber temp.Peristaltic pump: not usedSampling depthSolution: 400 Mcps/µg g^{-1} (low-power operation)-360 Mcps/µg g^{-1} (low-power operation)-360 Mcps/µg g^{-1} (low-power operation)Background: < 5 cps (at m/z=101.5)3. Data acquisition: 113^4Ba, 13^5Ba, 136(Ba+Ce), 137Ba, 138(Ba+La+Ce), 139La, 140CeData acquisition timeScan modeData acquisition timeScan modeMonitoring signalsData acquisition timeDwell timeScan mode: 10 ms/pointstottope$	Lens type	: CHICANE lens
Intermediate chamber $: < 10^{-2}$ Analyzer chamber $: 2 \times 10^{-4}$ (standard operation) 6×10^{-4} (low-power operation)2. Instrumental setting:Nebuliser: Glass Expansion MicroMist Nebuliser gas flow rateSolution uptake rate: 1.02 L/min (standard operation) $0.75 L/min (low-power operation)$ Solution uptake rate: 1 mL/min (standard operation) $0.8 mL/min (low-power operation)$ Spray chamber: Scott-type double path $12^{\circ}C$ (low-power operation)Spray chamber temp.: $4^{\circ}C$ (standard operation) $12^{\circ}C$ (low-power operation)Peristaltic pump: not usedSampling depth: 20 mm above the load coil $\sim 360 Mcps/\mug g^{-1}$ (standard operation) $\sim 360 Mcps/\mug g^{-1}$ (low-power operation)Background: $< 5 \text{ cps (at m/z = 101.5)3. Data acquisitionMonitoring signals: ^{134}Ba, ^{135}Ba, ^{136}(Ba + Ce), ^{137}Ba, ^{138}(Ba + La + Ce), ^{139}La, ^{140}Ce15 sScan modeMondetMonel timeScan mode: peak jump (3 points per isotopes; M and M \pm 0.025 amu)Dwell timeSettled time$	Pressure (Pa)	
Analyzer chamber 2×10^{-4} (standard operation) 6×10^{-4} (low-power operation)2. Instrumental setting Nebuliser: Glass Expansion MicroMist 0×10^{-4} (low-power operation)Nebuliser: Glass Expansion MicroMist $0.75 L/min$ (standard operation) $0.75 L/min$ (low-power operation)Solution uptake rate: $1 \text{ out}_/min$ (standard operation) 0.8 mL/min (low-power operation)Spray chamber: Scott-type double path $Spray chamber temp.$ $2^{\circ}C$ (low-power operation) $12^{\circ}C$ (low-power operation) $\sim 360 \text{ Mcps/}\mu g g^{-1}$ (standard operation) $\sim 360 \text{ Mcps/}\mu g g^{-1}$ (standard operation) $\sim 360 \text{ Mcps/}\mu g g^{-1}$ (low-power operation) $\sim 360 \text{ Mcps/}\mu g g^{-1}$ (standard operation) $\sim 360 \text{ Mcps/}\mu g g^{-1}$ (low-power operation) $\sim 360 \text{ Mcps/}\mu g g^{-1}$ (standard operation) $10 \text{ ms/}\mu g$	Expansion chamber	: 120
$6 \times 10^{-4} (low-power operation)$ 2. Instrumental setting Nebuliser Nebuliser gas flow rate Solution uptake rate Solution upt	Intermediate chamber	$:<10^{-2}$
2. Instrumental setting Nebuliser Setuction uptake rate: Glass Expansion MicroMist : $1.02 L/min (standard operation)$ $0.75 L/min (low-power operation)$ $0.75 L/min (low-power operation)$ $0.8 mL/min (standard operation)$ $0.8 mL/min (low-power operation)$ $0.8 mL/min (low-power operation)$ Spray chamber Spray chamber temp.: Scott-type double path $12^{\circ}C (low-power operation)$ $12^{\circ}C (low-power operation)$ $12^{\circ}C (low-power operation)$ $12^{\circ}C (low-power operation)$ $20 mm above the load coilSensitivity for Ba: 400 Mcps/\mu g g^{-1} (standard operation)\sim 360 Mcps/\mu g g^{-1} (low-power operation)\sim 360 Mcps/\mu g g^{-1} (low-power operation)\sim 360 Mcps/\mu g g^{-1} (low-power operation)\approx 360 Mcps/(\mu g g^{-1} (low-power operation))\approx 360 Mcps/(\mu g g^{-1} (low-power operation))\qquad 30 Mcps/(\mu g g^{-1} (low-power operation))\qquad 30 Mcps/(\mu g g^{-1}$	Analyzer chamber	: 2×10^{-4} (standard operation)
Nebuliser: Glass Expansion MicroMistNebuliser gas flow rate: $1.02 L/min (standard operation)$ $0.75 L/min (low-power operation)$ Solution uptake rate: $1 mL/min (standard operation)$ $0.8 mL/min (low-power operation)$ Spray chamber: $Scott-type double path$ Spray chamber temp.Spray chamber temp.: $4^{\circ}C (standard operation)$ $12^{\circ}C (low-power operation)$ Peristaltic pump: not used Sampling depthSampling depth: $20 mm$ above the load coil $\sim 360 Mcps/\mug g^{-1} (standard operation)$ $\sim 360 Mcps/\mug g^{-1} (low-power operation)$ Background: $< 5 cps (at m/z=101.5)$ 3. Data acquisition: 1^{134} Ba, 135 Ba, 136 (Ba+Ce), 137 Ba, 138 (Ba+La+Ce), 139 La, 140 Ce Data acquisition timeImage: scan mode Data acquisition time: $15 s$ Scan modeScan mode Dwell time Settled time: $10 ms/point$ settled time		6×10^{-4} (low-power operation)
Nebuliser gas flow rate $1.02 L/min (standard operation)$ $0.75 L/min (low-power operation)$ Solution uptake rate $1 mL/min (standard operation)$ $0.8 mL/min (low-power operation)$ Spray chamber $2 cost-type$ double path $pray chamber temp.$ Spray chamber temp. $4 °C (standard operation)$ $12°C (low-power operation)$ Peristaltic pump $2 cost-type$ double path $12°C (low-power operation)$ $12°C (low-power operation)$ Peristaltic pump $2 not used$ $2 not usedSampling depth2 0 mm above the load coil2 cost-fype g g^{-1} (standard operation)\sim 360 Mcps/\mu g g^{-1} (low-power operation)Background< 5 cps (at m/z=101.5)3. Data acquisition13^4Ba, 13^5Ba, 13^6(Ba+Ce), 137Ba, 138(Ba+La+Ce), 139La, 140CeData acquisition time15 sScan modeData acquisition time15 sScan modeDwell timeSettled time10 ms/point10 ms/isotope$	2. Instrumental setting	
$\begin{array}{cccc} 0.75 \ \text{L/min} (\text{low-power operation}) \\ \text{Solution uptake rate} & : 1 \ \text{mL/min} (\text{standard operation}) \\ 0.8 \ \text{mL/min} (\text{low-power operation}) \\ 0.8 \ \text{mL/min} (\text{low-power operation}) \\ \text{Spray chamber} & : Scott-type double path \\ \text{Spray chamber temp.} & : 4^{\circ} \mathbb{C} (\text{standard operation}) \\ 12^{\circ} \mathbb{C} (\text{low-power operation}) \\ 12^{\circ} \mathbb{C} ($	Nebuliser	: Glass Expansion MicroMist
Solution uptake rate: 1 mL/min (standard operation) 0.8 mL/min (low-power operation)Spray chamber: Scott-type double path Spray chamber temp.Spray chamber temp.: 4°C (standard operation) $12°C (low-power operation)Peristaltic pump: not usedSampling depth: 20 mm above the load coil20 mm above the load coilSensitivity for Ba: 400 Mcps/\mu g g^{-1} (standard operation)\sim 360 Mcps/\mu g g^{-1} (low-power operation)Background: <5 cps (at m/z = 101.5)3. Data acquisition: ^{134}Ba, ^{135}Ba, ^{136}(Ba+Ce), ^{137}Ba, ^{138}(Ba+La+Ce), ^{139}La, ^{140}Ce: 15 sScan mode: peak jump (3 points per isotopes; M and M±0.025 amu): 10 ms/pointsettled time$	Nebuliser gas flow rate	: 1.02 L/min (standard operation)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.75 L/min (low-power operation)
Spray chamber: Scott-type double pathSpray chamber temp.: 4°C (standard operation) $12°C (low-power operation)$ Peristaltic pump: not usedSampling depth: 20 mm above the load coilSensitivity for Ba: 400 Mcps/ $\mu g g^{-1}$ (standard operation) $\sim 360 Mcps/\mu g g^{-1} (low-power operation)Background: <5 cps (at m/z=101.5)3. Data acquisition: ^{134}Ba, ^{135}Ba, ^{136}(Ba+Ce), ^{137}Ba, ^{138}(Ba+La+Ce), ^{139}La, ^{140}CeData acquisition time: 15 sScan mode: peak jump (3 points per isotopes; M and M±0.025 amu)Dwell time: 10 ms/pointSettled time: 10 ms/isotope$	Solution uptake rate	: 1 mL/min (standard operation)
Spray chamber temp.: 4°C (standard operation) 12°C (low-power operation)Peristaltic pump: not usedSampling depth: 20 mm above the load coilSensitivity for Ba: 400 Mcps/ μ g g ⁻¹ (standard operation) ~360 Mcps/ μ g g ⁻¹ (low-power operation)Background: <5 cps (at $m/z=101.5)$ 3. Data acquisition:Monitoring signals: 134 Ba, 135 Ba, 136 (Ba+Ce), 137 Ba, 138 (Ba+La+Ce), 139 La, 140 CeData acquisition time: 15 sScan mode: peak jump (3 points per isotopes; M and M±0.025 amu)Dwell time: 10 ms/pointSettled time: 10 ms/isotope		0.8 mL/min (low-power operation)
$12^{\circ}C (low-power operation)$ Peristaltic pump : not used Sampling depth : 20 mm above the load coil Sensitivity for Ba : 400 Mcps/µg g ⁻¹ (standard operation) Compose a sensitivity for Ba : 400 Mcps/µg g ⁻¹ (low-power operation) Background : <5 cps (at m/z=101.5) 3. Data acquisition Monitoring signals : 1 ³⁴ Ba, ¹³⁵ Ba, ¹³⁶ (Ba+Ce), ¹³⁷ Ba, ¹³⁸ (Ba+La+Ce), ¹³⁹ La, ¹⁴⁰ Ce Data acquisition time : 15 s Scan mode : peak jump (3 points per isotopes; M and M±0.025 amu) Dwell time : 10 ms/point Settled time : 10 ms/isotope	Spray chamber	: Scott-type double path
Peristaltic pump: not usedSampling depth: 20 mm above the load coilSensitivity for Ba: $400 \text{ Mcps}/\mu g g^{-1}$ (standard operation) $\sim 360 \text{ Mcps}/\mu g g^{-1}$ (low-power operation)Background: $<5 \text{ cps}$ (at $m/z = 101.5$)3. Data acquisition: 134 Ba, 135 Ba, 136 (Ba+Ce), 137 Ba, 138 (Ba+La+Ce), 139 La, 140 CeData acquisition time: 15 s Scan mode: peak jump (3 points per isotopes; M and M±0.025 amu)Dwell time: 10 ms/pointSettled time: 10 ms/isotope	Spray chamber temp.	
Sampling depth Sensitivity for Ba: 20 mm above the load coil : 400 Mcps/ $\mu g g^{-1}$ (standard operation) $\sim 360 Mcps/\mu g g^{-1}$ (low-power operation)Background: <5 cps (at $m/z = 101.5$)3. Data acquisition:Monitoring signals: 134 Ba, 135 Ba, 136 (Ba+Ce), 137 Ba, 138 (Ba+La+Ce), 139 La, 140 CeData acquisition time Scan mode: 15 sScan mode Dwell time Settled time: 10 ms/point : 10 ms/isotope		12° C (low-power operation)
Sensitivity for Ba: $400 \text{ Mcps}/\mu g g^{-1}$ (standard operation) $\sim 360 \text{ Mcps}/\mu g g^{-1}$ (low-power operation)Background: $<5 \text{ cps}$ (at $m/z = 101.5$)3. Data acquisition: ^{134}Ba , ^{135}Ba , $^{136}(\text{Ba}+\text{Ce})$, ^{137}Ba , $^{138}(\text{Ba}+\text{La}+\text{Ce})$, ^{139}La , ^{140}Ce Data acquisition time: 15 s Scan mode: peak jump (3 points per isotopes; M and M±0.025 amu)Dwell time: 10 ms/point Settled time: 10 ms/isotope	Peristaltic pump	: not used
Background $\sim 360 \text{ Mcps}/\mu g \text{ g}^{-1}$ (low-power operation)3. Data acquisition: $< 5 \text{ cps}$ (at $m/z = 101.5$)3. Data acquisition: ^{134}Ba , ^{135}Ba , $^{136}(\text{Ba+Ce})$, ^{137}Ba , $^{138}(\text{Ba+La+Ce})$, ^{139}La , ^{140}Ce Data acquisition time: 15 s Scan mode: peak jump (3 points per isotopes; M and M±0.025 amu)Dwell time: 10 ms/point Settled time: 10 ms/isotope	Sampling depth	: 20 mm above the load coil
Background: <5 cps (at m/z=101.5)3. Data acquisition	Sensitivity for Ba	
3. Data acquisition : 134Ba, 135Ba, 136(Ba+Ce), 137Ba, 138(Ba+La+Ce), 139La, 140Ce Monitoring signals : 134Ba, 135Ba, 136(Ba+Ce), 137Ba, 138(Ba+La+Ce), 139La, 140Ce Data acquisition time : 15 s Scan mode : peak jump (3 points per isotopes; M and M±0.025 amu) Dwell time : 10 ms/point Settled time : 10 ms/isotope	Background	
Monitoring signals: 134Ba, 135Ba, 136(Ba+Ce), 137Ba, 138(Ba+La+Ce), 139La, 140CeData acquisition time: 15 sScan mode: peak jump (3 points per isotopes; M and M±0.025 amu)Dwell time: 10 ms/pointSettled time: 10 ms/isotope	0	
Data acquisition time: 15 sScan mode: peak jump (3 points per isotopes; M and M±0.025 amu)Dwell time: 10 ms/pointSettled time: 10 ms/isotope	-	: ¹³⁴ Ba, ¹³⁵ Ba, ¹³⁶ (Ba+Ce), ¹³⁷ Ba, ¹³⁸ (Ba+La+Ce), ¹³⁹ La, ¹⁴⁰ Ce
Scan mode: peak jump (3 points per isotopes; M and M±0.025 amu)Dwell time: 10 ms/pointSettled time: 10 ms/isotope	0 0	
Dwell time: 10 ms/pointSettled time: 10 ms/isotope	*	: peak jump (3 points per isotopes; M and $M \pm 0.025$ amu)
Settled time : 10 ms/isotope	Dwell time	
· *	Settled time	
	Correction for mass discrimination	7 A

Table 1.	ICP-MS	Instrumentation	and Operational	Settings
----------	--------	-----------------	-----------------	----------

Note:

Standard operation: Standard power setting for ICP operation (1,350 W) Low-power operation: low-power ICP operation (500 W)

Lichte *et al.*²²⁾ reported that production ratio defined as MO^+/M^+ ratio increased up to 10% with the ICP incident power of 1,100 W. More importantly, they pointed out that oxide production ratio is basically correlated with chemical affinity with oxygen. In this study, further lower power was used to enhance the production efficiency of oxide ions, aiming at separation of mass spectrometric interferences by shifting the interferences to heavier masses as oxide molecular ions.

Dependence of production ratio of oxide ions (MO⁺/ M^+) upon ICP incident power was examined. In this study, BaO⁺/Ba⁺ and LaO⁺/La⁺ ratios were monitored by introducing a mixed solution of Ba and La (1 ng/g each), and the resulting MO^+/M^+ ratios were plotted against the ICP incident power (Fig. 2). Uncertainties of the BaO⁺/Ba⁺ and LaO⁺/La⁺ ratios denote 2σ (standard deviation) calculated from 5 times repeated analysis. The BaO^+/Ba^+ and LaO^+/La^+ ratios obtained on the conventional ICP power (1,350 $\rm W)$ was 0.00052 ± 0.00008 and 0.0029 ± 0.0003 , respectively. The level of oxide production increased dramatically when the ICP incident power was lower than 1,000 W, and the resulting BaO^+/Ba^+ and LaO^+/La^+ ratios found on the present low-power ICP (500 W) condition were 0.0912 ± 0.0047 and 6301 ± 205 , respectively. The enhancement factors for oxide production defined by

 $(MO^+/M^+)_{500 W}/(MO^+/M^+)_{1350 W}$ were 200 for Ba and >2,000,000 for La, suggesting that La⁺ was preferentially converted to LaO⁺ ions under the low-power ionisation condition. Fig. 3 represents the resulting mass spectrum for Ba–La mass region obtained by the low-power ICP operation. It should be noted that resid-



Fig. 2. Level of oxide production (BaO^+/Ba^+) and LaO^+/La^+ as a function of ICP incident power. The resulting LaO^+/La^+ ratio increased >2,000,000 times when the low power (500 W) was applied.



Fig. 3. Mass spectrum obtained by the low-power ICP operation (incident power 500 W). The La⁺ ion was quantitatively converted to LaO⁺ ion, and residual signal intensity for ¹³⁹La was almost identical to the background count rate.

ual signal intensity at m/z=139 would be originated from the hydride ion (¹³⁸BaH⁺) since production yield for hydride ions could also be increased with the low ICP power. However, this is not the case because the signal intensity at m/z = 139 was independent upon the signal intensity of ¹³⁸Ba⁺. Although it is not clear that residual signal at m/z = 139 was originated either from $^{139}\mathrm{La^{+}}$ or other polyatomic ions, it is feasible that residual signal intensity at m/z = 139 could reflect the upper limit of the residual signal intensity of $^{\rm 139}{\rm La^+}$ ions. The resulting signal intensity at m/z = 139 was < 10 ions/s which was almost same level as the background count of the instrument, and therefore, the most of the La⁺ ion was quantitatively converted to LaO⁺ ions under the low-power ICP operation. In strike contrast, only 10-15% fraction of Ba was converted to BaO ions even with the low-power ICP condition, and the main Ba ion produced by the ICP was still Ba⁺. This indicates that contribution of isobaric interference on $^{138}\mathrm{Ba}$ by $^{138}\mathrm{La}$ could be remarkably reduced by the low-power ICP

achieved in this study. It should be noted that loss of elemental sensitivity for Ba under the low-power ICP conditions was only 15% level, and therefore, sensitive detection of Ba was still possible. The result obtained here reveals that contribution of isobaric interference on ¹³⁸Ba could be minimised by the preferential conversion of ¹³⁸La⁺ to ¹⁵⁴LaO⁺ signals. This is identical to that ion-molecule reaction chemistry through the collision or reaction cell techniques.^{16)–19)}

In the case of isotopic analysis of Ba, not only ¹³⁸La but also ¹³⁶Ce and ¹³⁸Ce ions can become a mass spectrometric interferences on Ba. In order to validate the effectiveness of the conversion technique achieved by the low-power ICP, isotopic ratios of Ba for chemical reagents were measured.

3.2 Isotopic analysis of Ba

In this study, ¹³⁴Ba/¹³⁷Ba, ¹³⁵Ba/¹³⁷Ba, ¹³⁶Ba/¹³⁷Ba, and ¹³⁸Ba/¹³⁷Ba ratios for mixtures of Ba, La, and Ce from high purity chemical reagents have been meas-

Table 2. ¹³⁴Ba/¹³⁷Ba, ¹³⁵Ba/¹³⁷Ba, ¹³⁶Ba/¹³⁷Ba and ¹³⁸Ba/¹³⁷Ba Isotopic Ratios Obtained by ICP-MS with Different ICP Operation Power

Ν	o correction	for the	mass	discrimination	effect	was made.	
---	--------------	---------	------	----------------	--------	-----------	--

	ICP Power (Watts)	Ba (ng/g)	Ln*1 (ng/g)	¹³⁴ Ba/ ¹³⁷ Ba* ²	¹³⁵ Ba/ ¹³⁷ Ba* ²	¹³⁶ Ba/ ¹³⁷ Ba* ²	¹³⁸ Ba/ ¹³⁷ Ba* ²
Hot plasma	1,350	5	0	0.1955 ± 0.0073	0.5805 ± 0.0088	0.7014 ± 0.0055	6.511 ± 0.061
Cool plasma	500	5	0	0.2002 ± 0.0050	0.5779 ± 0.0065	0.7007 ± 0.0063	6.455 ± 0.064
		5	5	0.1922 ± 0.0063	0.5842 ± 0.0080	0.7017 ± 0.0041	$\begin{array}{c} 6.511 \\ \pm 0.051 \end{array}$
		5	5,000	0.1968 ± 0.0055	0.5801 ± 0.0089	0.7001 ± 0.0052	6.501 ± 0.058
		5	50,000	0.1955 ± 0.0075	0.5822 ± 0.0091	0.7008 ± 0.0066	$\begin{array}{c} 6.498 \\ \pm 0.078 \end{array}$

*1 Lanthanoid elements (La-Lu).

*² Errors are 2-sigma standard deviation calculated by 15 repeated analysis.



Fig. 4. Isotopic ratios of Ba (¹³⁶Ba/¹³⁷Ba and ¹³⁸Ba/¹³⁷Ba) obtained by the standard ICP operation and the low-power operation. There were no significant difference in resulting ¹³⁶Ba/¹³⁷Ba and ¹³⁸Ba/¹³⁷Ba ratios even with the presence of La and Ce (Ln/Ba=1-10,000).

ured in order to test the separation efficiency of isobaric interferences more rigorously. Four analytical solutions were made: first solution was 5 ng/g Ba solution simply diluted from the Ba standard solution. Second solution was a 5 ng/g Ba solution containing same concentrations of La and Ce (5 ng/g each). The third and fourth solutions was 5 ng/g Ba containing imes1,000 times and imes10,000 times higher concentrations of La and Ce, respectively. For further comparison, Ba isotopic ratios measurement was made under the standard ICP operation (1.35 kW). Data acquisition parameters such as signal integration area, integration time, mass-scan rate or number of scans were summarised in Table 1. The resulting ¹³⁴Ba/¹³⁷Ba, ¹³⁵Ba/¹³⁷Ba, ¹³⁶Ba/¹³⁷Ba and ¹³⁸Ba/¹³⁷Ba ratios were listed in Table 2. Among them, data for ¹³⁶Ba/¹³⁷Ba and ¹³⁸Ba/¹³⁷Ba ratios could vary due to possible isobaric interferences by La and Ce, and therefore, resulting ¹³⁶Ba/¹³⁷Ba and 138 Ba/ 137 Ba ratios were plotted for clarification (Fig. 4). All the isotopic ratio data including ¹³⁶Ba/¹³⁷Ba and ¹³⁸Ba/¹³⁷Ba ratios show excellent agreement to the data obtained by the pure Ba analytical solution within the analytical uncertainties (1%, 2SD). Moreover, no significant difference was found on the resulting isotopic ratios obtained by the low-power ICP operation from the data for pure-Ba solution obtained with the standard-power ICP operation. Contributions of ¹³⁸La and 138 Ce signals onto 138 Ba isotope were < 0.02% and <0.1%, respectively, even with the presence of \times 10,000 times higher concentrations of La and Ce, and therefore, level of isobaric interferences on Ba due to La and Ce were negligible within the precision of the measurement achieved in this study.

Allanite ((Ca, Ce, Y, La, Th)₂(AlFe)₃Si₃O₁₂(OH)) and monazite ((Ce, La, Y, Th)PO₄) are the typical REE (rare earth elements)-bearing minerals. Since La/Ba abundance ratio for the allanite or monazite is generally very high, age of these minerals can be well constrained by the La–Ba isotopic chronometer. In most cases, the La/Ba ratio for alanites or monazites is generally 50–5,000,^{20)–21)} and this is significantly lower than the nominal La/Ba ratio simulated in this study (×10,000). This suggests that precise isotopic ratios of Ba can be made on bulk samples without chemical separation of Ba from La and Ce.

No correction of the mass discrimination effect was made for all the isotopic data for Ba (¹³⁴Ba/¹³⁷Ba, ¹³⁵Ba/¹³⁷Ba, ¹³⁶Ba/¹³⁷Ba, and ¹³⁸Ba/¹³⁷Ba ratios) given in Table 2 and Fig. 4. This indicates that accuracy and precision of the isotopic ratio measurements could be further improved when the mass discrimination effect is corrected internally. Moreover, further precise and accurate isotopic ratio measurements can be achieved by the combination of the present low-power ionisation technique and a multiple collector-ICP-mass spectrometer.

4. Conclusion

Isobaric interferences on Ba by La and Ce isotopes were effectively minimised by the preferential production of LaO^+ and CeO^+ molecular ions under the low-power ICP operation (500 W). Since the signal intensity of residual La⁺ ions were almost the same level as the instrumental background (<10 ions/s), La⁺ ions were quantitatively converted to LaO⁺ ions under the low-power ICP operation. Resulting LaO⁺/ La⁺ ratio observed on the low-power ICP operation was >2,000,000 times higher than the ratio obtained by the conventional operation with ICP power of 1.35 kW. In contrast, the main Ba signal observed on the low-power ICP was still Ba+ ion. Instrumental sensitivity for Ba was still $>300 \text{ MHz}/\mu \text{g g}^{-1}$, which was almost 85% level of that achieved by the standard ICP power. This is very important for the isotopic analysis of Ba with high sensitivity. No significant changes in $^{136}\text{Ba}/^{137}\text{Ba}$ and $^{138}\text{Ba}/^{137}\text{Ba}$ ratios was found even with the presence of $\times 10,000$ times higher concentration of La and Ce (50,000 ng/g each), indicative of effective removal of isobaric interferences by La and Ce isotopes. The removal of isobaric interferences by means of the selective conversion achieved with the low-power ICP can be applied for the isotopic analyses of various elements without any serious modification of the ICP-MS instruments.

References

- A. P. Dickin, "Radiogenic Isotope Geology," Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1995).
- C. Lewis, "The Dating Game," Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2000).
- A. L. Gray, and A. R. Date, *Analyst (London)*, 108, 1033 (1983).
- H. Niu and R. S. Houk, Spectrochim. Acta, 51B, 779 (1996).
- A. L. Gray, "Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry," in "*Inorganic Mass Spectrometry*," ed. by F. Adams, R. Gijbels, and R. V. Grieken, John Wiley and Sons, New York (1988).
- A. Montaser, "Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry," Wiley-VCH, New York (1998).
- J. R. Laeter, "Applications of Inorganic Mass Spectrometry," John Wiley & Sons, New York (2001).
- A. N. Halliday, D.-C. Lee, J. N. Christensen, M. Rehkamper, W. Yi, X. Luo, C. M. Hall, C. J. Ballentine, T. Pettke, and C. Stirling, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 62, 919 (1998).
- R. W. Nesbitt, T. Hirata, I. B. Butler, I. B., and A. J. Milton, *Geostandards Newsletter*, 20, 231 (1998).

- T. Hirata and R. W. Nesbitt, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 59, 2491 (1995).
- I. Horn, R. L. Rudnick, and W. F. McDonough, *Chem. Geol.*, 167, 405 (2000).
- 12) D. Günther, R. Frischknecht, C. A. Heinrich, and H. Kahlert, Anal. Atom. Spectrom., 12, 939 (1997).
- 13) D. Günther and C. A. Heinrich, J. Anal. Atom. Spectrom., 14, 1369 (1999).
- 14) Y. Orihashi and T. Hirata, Geochem. J., 37, 401 (2003).
- 15) T. Iizuka and T. Hirata, Geochem. J., in press.
- 16) D. R. Bandura, V. I. Baranov, and S. D. Tanner, Anal. Atom. Spectrom., 15, 921 (2000).
- 17) L. A. Simpson, M. Thomson, B. J. Alloway, and A. J. Parker, Anal. Atom. Spectrom., 16, 1375 (2001).
- 18) L. J. Moens, F. F. Vanhaecke, D. R. Bandura, V. I. Baranov, and S. D. Tanner, *J. Anal. Atom. Spectrom.*, 16, 991 (2001).
- 19) S. D. Tanner, V. I. Baranov, and D. R. Bandura, Spectrochim. Acta, 57B, 1361 (2002).
- 20) A. Masuda and S. Nakai, Geochem. J., 17, 313 (1983).
- S. Nakai, H. Shimizu, and A. Masuda, *Nature*, **320**, 433 (1986).
- 22) F. E. Lichte, A. L. Meier, and J. G. Crock, *Anal. Chem.*, **59**, 1150 (1987).
- T. Hirata and T. Yamaguchi, J. Anal. Atom. Spectrom., 14, 1455 (1999).

Keywords: ICP-mass spectrometer, Isotopic analysis, Barium, Isobaric interference, Low-power ionisation, Cool plasma operation